## **Swansea Bay City Deal COVID-19 Economic Impact Assessment**

Version control: V1.7

Assessment date: Oct-21 Review date(s): Jan-22

Completed by:

The Covid-19 impact assessment is the method used by the Swansea Bay City Deal to assess the potential impact that the Covid-19 crisis has on each of nine programmes / projects and overarching City Deal portfolio. Recognising that the national and regional economic recovery will rely upon City Deal programmes / projects to support and stimulate national and regional economic growth and attract inward investment during these times of uncertainty.

The assessment will assure the viability and successful delivery of the City Deal programmes / projects during the crisis and recovery stages of the Covid-19 pandemic. This assessment will compliment existing City Deal governance procedures and documentation and any Covid-19 recovery plans for all primary stakeholders. It is envisaged that risks impacting the programmes / project and mitigations to overcome them will be dealt with at programme / project level. Any risks deemed to place significant pressures on theprogramme / project or overarching portfolio such as significantly changing the programme / project scope, significant variance in the definedprogramme / project outputs, significant stage gate delays or continued commitment from key stakeholders, will be assessed by the SBCD Portfolio Management Office and escalated to Joint Committee for appropriate intervention and decision. In the event that a programme / project is exposed to have significant risk and impact, a task and finish group will be established to gather evidence, identify mitigations and determine an appropriate course of action.

The process to gather the required information, assess its impact and determine appropriate mitigations and decisions is outlined below:

1. Define assessment scope and areas of focus

2. Identify key risks in the Risk Assessment worksheet and assess the level of risk associated with each

3. Analyse the potential impact of these risks in the Impact assessment worksheet

4. Identify corrective action and level of intervention

5. Report to Joint Committee, Programme Board and Economic Strategy Board

6. Disseminate findings regionally and to Welsh and UK Government

ASSESSMENT	SCORING				Impact score April								
CRITERIA	0	5	10	20	PDM	Yr Egin	Campuses	Digital	HAPS	SI & LCG	Pentre Awel	Swansea Waterfront	Skills
Scope and key objectives	No change to project	Limited and minor changes to project	Widespread and major changes to project	Significant change to project	5	20	5	0	5	5	0	0	10
Targets	No risk to achievement	Short-term, limited impact to achievement	Widespread, but relatively short term impact on	Significant, long-lasting impact on achievement	10	10	5	5	5	5	5	20	5
Timescales	No foreseeable delays	Potentially minor delays (0-6 months)	Potentially major delays (6-12 months)	Potentially significant delays (1 year+)	5	0	5	0	5	5	5	5	5
Reputation if project fails to deliver	No negative impact	Local and limited negative impact	Regional and limited negative impact	Significant impact	5	5	10	10	10	10	10	20	5
Stakeholders/partn erships commitment	No issues	Limited and minor issues	Widespread and major issues	Significant issues	10	0	5	5	0	0	5	10	0
Project costs	No variance	0-10% variance	10-20% variance	20%+ variance	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0
Procurement	No impact	Minor impact	Major impact	Significant impact	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Staff resourcing	No impact	Limited impact	Widespread and major impact	Significant impact	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	10	5
				TOTAL	45	45	45	40	40	40	40	75	35
					С	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	С

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	Scoring guide				Impact score October								
	0	5	10	20	PDM	Yr Egin	Campuses	Digital	HaPS	SILCG	Pentre Awel	Swansea Waterfront	Skills
Scope and key objectives	No change to project	Limited and minor changes to project	and major	Significant change to project	5	10	0	0	5	5	0	0	10
Targets	No risk to achievement	Short-term, limited impact to achievement	but relatively short term	Significant, long-lasting impact on achievement	10	10	5	5	5	5	5	10	5
Timescales	No foreseeable delays	Potentially minor delays (0-6 months)	major delays (6-12 months)	Potentially significant delays (1 year+)	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	5	5
Reputation if project fails to deliver	No negative impact	Local and limited negative impact		Significant impact	5	5	10	10	10	10	10	10	5
Stakeholders/partn erships commitment	No issues	Limited and minor issues	Widespread and major issues	Significant issues	10	0	5	5	0	0	5	10	0
Project costs	No variance	0-10% variance	10-20% variance	20%+ variance	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0
Procurement	No impact	Minor impact	Major impact	Significant impact	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Staff resourcing	No impact	Limited impact		Significant impact	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	TOTAL			45	40	40	35	40	40	40	50	35	
	Intevention Movement			С	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	С	
				-	A	A	A	-	-	-	A	-	

	Number of Red Risks Identified by Impact Criteria									
	Scope and key objectives	Targets	Timescales	Reputation if project fails to deliver	Stakeholders/ partnerships commitment	Project costs	Procurement	Staff resourcing	total Red Risks	
Apr-21	6	8 6 8 8		8	6	3	2	47		
Oct-21	4	4 4 4		2	6	2	1	27		
Change	A	A	A	A	A	-	A	A	A	